

EXHIBIT 9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
RICHMOND DIVISION

ePLUS, INC.

vs.

LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.

:
: Civil Action No.
: 3:09CV620
:
:
: January 13, 2011
:

COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF THE JURY TRIAL

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT E. PAYNE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE, AND A JURY

APPEARANCES:

Scott L. Robertson, Esquire
Michael G. Strapp, Esquire
Jennifer A. Albert, Esquire
David M. Young, Esquire
Goodwin Procter, LLP
901 New York Avenue NW
Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20001

Craig T. Merritt, Esquire
Christian & Barton, LLP
909 East Main Street
Suite 1200
Richmond, Virginia 23219-3095
Counsel for the plaintiff

Peppy Peterson, RPR
Official Court Reporter
United States District Court

1 your definitions.

2 Answer: And the fact is, all we got after that was
3 36 customers out of hundreds of customers in which they said,
4 here, here's a few customers that we've done data migration
5 for --

6 THE COURT: What are you doing?

7 MR. McDONALD: I was going to offer something, Your
8 Honor, because I think we may not have a dispute on this issue,
9 and we can maybe cut it short.

10 THE COURT: Let's see if we can speed it up.

11 MR. McDONALD: Since damages are out of the case, I
12 don't think we're going to dispute now -- we don't have to
13 worry about how many customers or whether there was some that
14 we didn't help load the data. I don't think this is an issue.

15 THE COURT: You're not going to offer evidence on
16 that topic.

17 MR. McDONALD: No, it's not a defense that we're
18 asserting.

19 THE COURT: Then it's moot.

20 MR. ROBERTSON: All right, thank you, Your Honor.
21 That's a good resolution.

22 THE COURT: Do you know how that could have been
23 solved, Mr. Robertson? Let me tell you the way that we did it
24 in the days gone by. The issue was on the table -- Mr.
25 McDonald, this is for both of you.